

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,171 12/16/2003		12/16/2003	Robert E. Gilson	052546-0169	4461
23524	7590	10/20/2004	EXAMINER		
FOLEY of	& LARD	NER	RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
150 EAS7	r GILMAN	N STREET			 .
P.O. BOX	1497		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISO	N, WI 53	3701-1497	2856		
				DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Cumman.	10/737,171	GILSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Robert R. Raevis	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above.	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t	timely filed ays will be considered timely.	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, cause the application to become ABANDON	IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, <u> </u>	 s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal		rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-18</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ition No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	/ed.	
Attach manufal			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summai	n/(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-30-04. 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
5. Patent and Trademark Office	o/		_

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 5,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weyrauch et al '210.

Weyrauch et al teach (col. 8, lines 50+; continuing on to col. 9, line 2) a probe tip alignment method for a precision liquid handler having a probe drive system and support 33 for holding sample wells, the method including: inserting a pipette 18 into a basin 271 that supports metal plate 276, the basin rim (or aperture 278k if you will) having a known position to define an acceptable pipette; shifting the pipette from the nominal center of radiused end 280 to the nominal center adjacent radiused end 281, and if there is any engagement between the pipette and plate 276 positioning the probe at a realignment location for bending.

Weyrauch does not state that an offset is determined, and does not refer to the basin 271 as a "locator well".

As to claims 5,18; Weyrauch's basin may be deemed a "locator well" as is both allows for locating the probe position and holding liquid. In addition, any physical engagement is indicative of the existence of an "offset", and the necessary amount of required bending to produce realignment is representative of that offset. It would have been obvious for an operator to visually determine how much the pipette needs to be bent (present position at the time of engagement relative to a desired straight position) to recognize how long (and with what force) the probe needs to be forced to accomplish a proper degree of realignment.

Art Unit: 2856

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 5,6,18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,474,181. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 5,6,18 of the application are broader than claim 4 of the patent.

Claims 7-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/202,040. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2856

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 2. examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

RASVII